

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,773	12/03/2003	Frank Braymand	1001-099	4207
25215	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC 29 W LAWRENCE ST SUITE 210			BUTLER, DOUGLAS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PONTIAC, N	/II 48342		3683	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>		4				
_		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan		10/726,773	BRAYMAND, FRANK	ļ				
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Douglas C. Butler	3683					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	-				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a a ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. & 133).	cation.				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 i	December 2004						
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
·		.!:4:						
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-21</u> is/are pending in the app							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-21</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
ا اره	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been	pplication No	Ð				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachmen		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) ☐ Notic 3) ☐ Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1, 5-21 are pending with claims 2-4 having been canceled.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claims 1, 5-15: There are no clear antecedent bases in the claims for "the extremities of the reducing arms" of claim 1, line 6; "the extremities of the arms" of claim 1, line 7; "the inner surface of the hollow member" of claim, line 7 [Note that claim 1, line 4 recites "an internal surface" as opposed to an "inner surface".]; "the anticorrosion fluid" of claim 5, line 3; "the external member" of claim 5, line 3 [Note that claim 1, line 2 recites "an outer hollow member" as opposed to "an external member"]; "the external hollow member" of claim 7, lines 1-2; "the external hollow member" of lines 1-2 of each of claims 7-9; "the foamable material" of claim 12, lines 1-2.

Re claims 16-21: There are no clear antecedent bases in the claims for "the internal surface of the outer hollow member" of claim 16, line 5; "their extremities" of claim 16, line 7; "the inner surface of the hollow external member" of claim 16, lines 7-8; "the hollow external member" of claim 16, lines 7-8 [Note that claim 16, line 2 recites "an outer hollow member" as opposed to "a hollow external member".]; "it" of claim 16, line 10; "the vehicle frame" of claim 16, line 11; "the e coat oven" of claim 16, line 11; "the external hollow member "of claim 16, line 12, claim 17, lines 1-2; "the inner member" of

claim 16, line 14; "the internal surface of the external member" of claim 16, lines 16-17; "the external member" of claim 16, lines 16-17, claim 16, line 18; "the entire internal surface of the external member" of claim 16, lines 17-18 [How is the "internal surface" of the outer hollow member distinguished from the entire "internal surface"?]; "the extremity" of claim 19, line 2; etc.

Applicant should carefully review the claims amending where necessary in order to meet the exacting requirements of 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

- 4. Claims 1 and 5-21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claim 21, line 3 "hollow outer member" should be changed to "outer hollow member" to conform to the recitation in parent claim 16, line 2 of "an outer hollow member".
- 6. Applicant's arguments have been considered.
- 7. The submitted IDS has been considered.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Exmr Butler at telephone number 703-308-2575.

POUGLAS C. BUTLER

WARY EXAMINER

Butler/vs March 3, 2005